

From: [REDACTED]
To: [South East Anglia Link](#)
Subject: Project: Sea Link EN20026 - Response to Applicant's Document 9.34.6 Applicant's Thematic Responses to Relevant Representations– REP1-116
Date: 09 December 2025 17:08:13

You don't often get email from yvonne.edge17@outlook.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

IP No. FA29856F4

I am submitting this Written Response as a local resident directly affected by the Sea Link project and in response to the Applicant's Thematic response to my Relevant Representation. I am unable to find the RR number relating to my Relevant Representation in REP1 - 117. I am also unable to submit this Written Response via the website as it says 'Service Currently Unavailable'.

I have major concerns across a number of the assessed topics, including long-term construction noise, air and noise pollution, ecological disturbance, landscape damage, cumulative effects from multiple NSIPs, and insufficient mitigation. The Applicant repeatedly downplays impacts, relies on assumptions rather than evidence, and provides no secured community benefits.

The project in its current form will permanently alter the environment, character, and wellbeing of local nature (flora and fauna), and does not adequately avoid, minimise, or justify the level of harm proposed.

7.7 – Landscape and Visual Impacts

7.7.1 – Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB

The Project severely affects North Warren nature reserve which lies in the Suffolk Coasts and Heaths AONB. The Applicant's conclusion of "no significant adverse effects" is hard to reconcile with the large-scale access routes through this area. AONB status requires exceptional caution and avoidance.

7.12 – Health & Wellbeing

7.12.1 – General Health and Wellbeing Impacts

The Applicant's statements that no "significant" impacts are predicted on general health and wellbeing underplay chronic stress, sleep disturbance, and anxiety caused by prolonged construction. Cumulative minor harms become major for vulnerable residents and must be assessed qualitatively as well as quantitatively.

7.12.2 – Mental Health and Social Cohesion

Long-term disruption, uncertainty, and loss of amenity undermine community cohesion and mental health; compensatory measures and community support programmes must be funded and secured. My way of life (along with thousands of other people) will be significantly impacted leading to loss of enjoyment of my surroundings, impacting on my physical and mental health.

7.26 – Alternatives, Site Selection & Assessment of Options (FULL SECTION)

7.26.1 – Inadequate Consideration of Reasonable Alternatives

The Applicant repeatedly states that the chosen locations represent the “most suitable” or “least harmful” options, but the evidence provided does not convincingly demonstrate that all reasonable alternatives were properly assessed. In particular, alternatives appear to have been hampered by the Applicant’s own operational preferences rather than by environmental, community or cumulative impact considerations. A proper alternatives assessment must be independent, transparent, and environmentally-led, not simply a justification of pre-selected locations.

Yvonne Edge

Sent from [REDACTED]